

DETERMINATION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS

SYDNEY EASTERN CITY PLANNING PANEL

| DATE OF DETERMINATION | 3 August 2022 |
|--------------------------|--|
| DATE OF PANEL DECISION | 3 August 2022 |
| DATE OF PANEL MEETING | 28 July 2022 |
| PANEL MEMBERS | Carl Scully (Chair), Jan Murrell, Chris Wilson, Joseph Cordaro |
| APOLOGIES | Anthony Bazouni |
| DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST | None |

Meeting held by teleconference on 28 July 2022, opened at 12.15pm and closed at 1.15pm. Papers circulated electronically on 22 July 2022.

MATTER DETERMINED

PPSSEC-164 - City of Canada Bay Council - DA2021/0301 - 410 Concord Road Rhodes 2138 – Demolition of an existing multi storey car park and construction of a 5-storey commercial office building comprising the Hewlett Packard Enterprise Headquarters, along with basement parking, Improvements to the existing hardstand car parking area, site landscaping, and public domain works to Blaxland Avenue (as described in Schedule 1).

PANEL CONSIDERATION AND DECISION

The Panel considered: the matters listed at item 6, the material listed at item 7 and the material presented at meetings and briefings listed at item 8 in Schedule 1.

Application to vary a development standard

Following consideration of a written request from the applicant, made under cl 4.6 of the Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 (LEP), the Panel determined that the request failed to demonstrate that:

- a) compliance with cl. 4.3 (Building Height) under the LEP was unreasonable in the circumstances; and
- b) there were sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

the Panel determined that:

- a) the applicant's written request does not adequately addresses the matters required to be addressed under cl 4.6 (3) of the LEP; and
- b) the development is not in the public interest because it is inconsistent with the objectives of cl. 4.3 (Building Height) of the LEP and the objectives for development in the B7 zone; and
- c) the concurrence of the Secretary has been assumed.

The Panel considers the Applicant's reasons for the height exceedance based on environmental planning grounds have not been demonstrated. The proposal raises the building more than 3 metres from natural ground level, which would result in unacceptable impacts on the public by eliminating direct at grade access to an established desire line used by both pedestrians and cyclists. This would not only effect public access to the train station, but also access to the broader regional pedestrian and cycle network.

The Panel is also concerned about the bulk and scale of the development concentrated on this corner of the site which is also inconsistent with the objectives of both the relevant standard and the objectives of the B7 zone.

Development application

The Panel determined to refuse the development application pursuant to section 4.16 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

The decision was unanimous.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Panel determined to refuse the application for the reasons outlined in the Council Officer's Assessment Report, with the exception of the car parking quantum and the removal of the words "public transport" from reason 3(c). In this regard, the Panel recognises the proximity of the site to a nearby railway station.

CONSIDERATION OF COMMUNITY VIEWS

In coming to its decision, the Panel considered written submissions made during public and notes that the Council Assessment Report adequately took those submissions into account.

| PANEL MEMBERS | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Pranting | Amell | |
| Carl Scully (Chair) | Jan Murrell | |
| Chris Wilson | Joseph Cordaro | |

| 1 PANEL REF - LGA - DA NO. PPSSEC-164 - Canada Bay Council - DA2021/0301 2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT Demolition of an existing multi storey car park and construction of a storey commercial office building comprising the Hewlett Packard Enterprise Headquarters, along with basement parking, Improvement the existing hardstand car parking area, site landscaping, and public domain works to Blaxland Avenue. 3 STREET ADDRESS 410 Concord Road, Rhodes - Hewlett Packard Site 4 APPLICANT/OWNER Saul Moran/ Hewlett Packard Australia Pty Ltd 5 TYPE OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT General development over \$30 million 6 RELEVANT MANDATORY CONSIDERATIONS • Environmental planning instruments: 0 State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 0 State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazard 2021 | nts to |
|--|--|
| 2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT Demolition of an existing multi storey car park and construction of a storey commercial office building comprising the Hewlett Packard Enterprise Headquarters, along with basement parking, Improveme the existing hardstand car parking area, site landscaping, and public domain works to Blaxland Avenue. 3 STREET ADDRESS 410 Concord Road, Rhodes - Hewlett Packard Site 4 APPLICANT/OWNER Saul Moran/ Hewlett Packard Australia Pty Ltd 5 TYPE OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT General development over \$30 million 6 RELEVANT MANDATORY CONSIDERATIONS • Environmental planning instruments: 0 State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 0 State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazard) | nts to |
| 4 APPLICANT/OWNER Saul Moran/ Hewlett Packard Australia Pty Ltd 5 TYPE OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT General development over \$30 million 6 RELEVANT MANDATORY CONSIDERATIONS • Environmental planning instruments: • State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 • State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazard) | |
| 5 TYPE OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT General development over \$30 million 6 RELEVANT MANDATORY CONSIDERATIONS • Environmental planning instruments: • State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 • State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazard) | |
| DEVELOPMENT General development over \$30 million 6 RELEVANT MANDATORY CONSIDERATIONS • Environmental planning instruments: • State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 • State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazard) | |
| CONSIDERATIONS • State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 • State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazard) | |
| State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 20 Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 Draft environmental planning instruments: Nil Development control plans: Canada Bay Development Control Plan 2013 Planning agreements: Nil Provisions of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Regu</i> 2000 Coastal zone management plan: Nil The likely impacts of the development, including environmenta impacts on the natural and built environment and social and ecc impacts in the locality The suitability of the site for the development Any submissions made in accordance with the <i>Environmental Pland</i> Assessment Act 1979 or regulations The public interest, including the principles of ecologically sustadevelopment | 21 <i>lation</i> I onomic <i>lanning</i> |
| 7 MATERIAL CONSIDERED BY THE PANEL Council Assessment Report: 13 July 2022 Clause 4.6 variation requests: Cl 4.3 – Height of Buildings Written submissions during public exhibition: 6 Total number of unique submissions received by way of objection | on: 6 |
| 8 MEETINGS, BRIEFINGS AND SITE INSPECTIONS BY THE PANEL • Briefing: 19 May 2022 • Panel members: Carl Scully (Chair), Jan Murrell, Chris Wils Joseph Cordaro, Anthony Bazouni • Council assessment staff: Leonie Derwent, Shannon Ander • Final briefing to discuss council's recommendation: 28 July 2022 • Panel members: Carl Scully (Chair), Jan Murrell, Chris Wils Joseph Cordaro • Panel members: Carl Scully (Chair), Jan Murrell, Chris Wils Joseph Cordaro • Ouncil assessment staff: Leonie Derwent, Shannon Ander • Applicant representatives: Murray Donaldson, Donovan Sia Moran, Mike Ferry, Paul Addison | on, rson 2 on, rson |
| 9 COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION Refusal | |
| 10 DRAFT CONDITIONS Attached to the Council Assessment Report | |